



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 09/560,475 | 4/28/2000 | David Greenspan | 028870-178 |

| EXAMINER | |
|------------|--------------|
| A. Pulliam | |
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1615 | 16 |

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

04-02-03

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Amy Pulliam (3) Mary Grant
(2) Gollamudi Kishore (4) David Greenspan
(5) Sherry Canty

Date of Interview April 2, 2003

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: _____

General

Agreement ☒ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: of record

Identification of prior art discussed: of record

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The apparent contradictory

results observed by Besetti on the effect of Bioglass on TNF α and instant invention were discussed. Based on the discussions, the following were suggested: 1) to show that particle sizes of Bioglass in Besetti and in instant invention contribute the differences observed; 2) to show either through experiments or non-experimental evidence that TNF α in different tissues and/or cells would respond to Bioglass to justify the same way.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

- ☒ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

- ☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

the generic expression "locally"

Examiner's Signature

ORIGINAL FOR INSERTION IN RIGHT HAND FLAP OF FILE WRAPPER